	USDC SDNY
AO 133 (Rev. 12/09) Bill of Costs	DOCUMENT
	COL ELECTRONICALLY FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT //
for the	DATE FILED: 3/28/17
Southern District of New York	
SERGEY LEONTIEV)	
v.) Case No.: 1	6-cv-03595 (JSR)
ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY	
)	
BILL OF COSTS	
Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on O3/02/2017 Date	against Alexander Varshavsky ,
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	
Fees of the Clerk	\$ 400.00 ~
Fees for service of summons and subpoena	
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in	the case \$85,85 23,699.28
Fees and disbursements for printing	
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)	
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any materials where the concessarily obtained for use in the case	
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923	
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals	
Compensation of court-appointed experts Llounder Witness for the Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation services under 28 U	S.C. 1828 Allowable 8,690.00
Other costs (please itemize) Bubmission OBJOGIVONS FILED	- Que
Token in to Cons Desnotion	IOIAL S
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and documentation for requeste	d costs in all categories. #19, 975, 85
Declaration	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing costs are correct and w services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. in the following manner:	A copy of this bill has been served on all parties
Electronic service First class mail, postage pr	epaid
Other:	
s/ Attorney:	
Name of Attorney: MARSHALL R. KING	
For: <u>SERGEY LEONTIEV</u> Name of Claiming Party	Date: <u>03/13/2017</u>
Taxation of Costs	
Costs are taxed in the amount of \$ 19, 925, 85	and included in the judgment.
RUBY J. KROTIKIK By: > 19	3/28/2017
Clerk of Court Depthy C	Plerk Date

AO 133 (Rev. 12/09) Bill of Costs

United States District Court

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)							
	ATTEN	DANCE	SUBSIS	TENCE	MILE	EAGE	Total Cost
NAME, CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Each Witness
							\$0.00
							\$0.00
							\$0.00
							\$0.00
							\$0.00
							\$0.00
					TO	OTAL	\$0.00

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 day's notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

RULE 6

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

RULE 58(e)

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.

EXHIBIT C

Case 1:16-cv-03595-JSR Document 74 Filed 03/28/17 Page 4 of 57

From: paygovadmin@mail.doc.twai.gov
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 6:52 PM
To: Barbera, Gino; Arias, Angel

Subject: Pay.gov Payment Confirmation: NYSD CM ECF

Your payment has been submitted to Pay.gov and the details are below. If you have any questions or you wish to cancel this payment, please contact the NYSD ECFHelpdesk at (212) 805-0800.

Application Name: NYSD CM ECF Pay.gov Tracking ID: 25RJVGER Agency Tracking ID: 0208-12301084

Transaction Type: Sale

Transaction Date: May 13, 2016 6:52:17 PM

Account Holder Name: Gino Barbera Transaction Amount: \$400.00 Card Type: AmericanExpress

Card Number: *********1006

THIS IS AN AUTOMATED MESSAGE. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY.

EXHIBIT D

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569

Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

PNOT AllewARLS

NY2842358 12/29/2016

Invoice Date: **Balance Due:**

\$2,258.20

Matter #:

56885-00001

Case: Leontiev v. Vashavsky 2494638 | Job Date: 12/20/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Job #:

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Location: Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description		Amount	
Blake to the Arthurpe (Int.) — This ball Made (I) to an interesting the complete map (Int. in 1972 and Interesting	Transcript Services	3	\$950.55	١
	Professional Attendance		\$95.00	7
	Exhibit Management		\$66.90	×
Kamo Avagumyan	Realtime Services		\$796.50	۴
	Rough Draft		\$309.75	*
	Expenses		\$0.00	
	Delivery and Handling		\$39.50	7
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,258.20	
		Payment:	\$0.00	ĺ
		Credit:	\$0.00	
		Interest:	\$0.00	_
		Balance Due:	\$2,258.20	

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs. Including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

\$ 950,53 plousesis

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #: NY2842358

Job #: 2494638 **Invoice Date:** 12/29/2016

> Balance: \$2,258,20

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2840579

Invoice Date:

12/28/2016

Balance Due:

\$3,793.65

Matter #:

56885-00001

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #: 2492612 | Job Date: 12/14/2016 | Delivery: Normal Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Location: Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description		Amount
ensembler in 1864 in in in installer in arminibleskild franklik in installer engeling i 2003.	Transcript Services		\$554.00
	Professional Attendance		\$95.00
	Exhibit Management		\$78.00
Karen Avagumyan	Realtime Services		\$450.00
	Rough Draft		\$175.00
	Expenses		\$2,400.00
	Delivery and Handling		\$41.65
Notes: Travel and No	n-Sitting Days	Invoice Total:	\$3,793.65
		Payment:	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.00
		Balance Due:	\$3,7 9 3.65

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/aff-services/services-information

THIS INVOICE IS 64 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303 Invoice #:

NY2840579

\$ 554.00

Job #:

2492612 12/28/2016

Balance:

Invoice Date:

\$3,793.65

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Robert L. Weigel, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

invoice #:

NY2863391

Invoice Date:

1/25/2017

Balance Due:

\$2,217.94

Case:

Leontiev v. Vashavsky

Job #:

2512946 | Job Date: 1/12/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Robert L. Weigel, Esq Debevoise & Plimpton

Location:

919 Third Avenue | Room 35F | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Witness	Description		Amount
95 July 44 July 20 5 July 65 July 65 July 20 J The Control of the Control of	Transcript Services		\$971.75
	Exhibit Management		\$200.40
Vadim Kololníkov CONF	Realtime Services		\$444.85
	Rough Draft		\$358.75
	Delivery and Handling		\$45.89
	Transcript Services		\$123.50
Vadim Kolotnikov AEO	Realtime Services		\$40.30
	Rough Draft		\$32.50
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,217.94
		Payment:	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.00
		Balance Due:	\$2,217.94

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

\$ 1,095 25 }

THIS INVOICE IS 36 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

invoice #: NY2863391

Invoice Date:

Job #: 2512946

1/25/2017

Balance: \$2,217.94

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Alison L. Wollin, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2860002

Invoice Date:

1/20/2017

Balance Due:

\$1,070.60

Case:

Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #:

2512944 | Job Date: 1/11/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq Location:

Debevoise & Plimpton

919 Third Avenue | 35th | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

	Transcript Services		\$526.5
	Exhibit Management		\$94.6
Charles W. Laurence	Realtime Services		\$232.5
	Rough Draft		\$187.5
	Delivery and Handling		\$29.5
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$1,070.6
		Payment:	\$0.0
		Credit:	\$0.0
		interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$1,070.6

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/services/services-information

852650

THIS INVOICE IS 41 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2860002

Job #:

Balance:

2512944

Invoice Date:

1/20/2017 \$1,070.60

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450

Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569

Bill To: Robert L. Weigel, Esq.

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Invoice #:

NY2851486

Invoice Date:

1/10/2017

Balance Due:

\$2,935,30

Case:

Job #:

Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2511857 | Job Date: 1/3/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Robert L. Weigel, Esq Location:

Debevoise & Plimpton

919 3rd Avenue | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Witness.	Description	Amo	unf
	Transcript Services	\$133	.25
S Leontiev - AEO	Realtime Services	\$44	.95
	Rough Draft	\$36	.25
The Assert Control of the Control of	Transcript Services	\$1,361	.75
	Exhibit Management	\$190	.00
Sergey Leontiev	Realtime Services	\$630	.85
	Rough Draft	\$508	.75
	Delivery and Handling	\$29	.50
Notes:		Invoice Total: \$2,935	.30
		Payment: \$0	.00
		Credit: \$0	.00
		Interest: \$0	.0
		Balance Due: \$2,935	.3

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorneys (sees Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

THIS INVOICE IS 51 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2851486

Job #:

2511857

Invoice Date:

1/10/2017

Balance: \$2,935.30

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Marshall King, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2862673

Invoice Date:

1/25/2017

Balance Due:

\$2,068.64

Case: Job #: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2521235 | Job Date: 1/17/2017 | Delivery: Expedited

Matter #:

56885-00001

Billing Atty: Marshall King, Esq.

Location: Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

200 Park Avenue | 47th Floor | New York, NY 10166

Sched Attv: Alison Chapin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description		Amount
第三十分できます。第二年日の第四年記録機関を登りませる場所を申申報・一冊・17年と考 第三十分できます。	Transcript Services	A defined and distinguish the control of the contro	\$721.50
	Transcript - Expedited Fee	1	\$231.00
	Professional Attendance		\$0.00
	Exhibit Management		\$150.75
Irina Monakhova	Realtime Services		\$651.00
	Rough Draft		\$262.50
	Expenses		\$0.00
	Delivery and Handling	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$51.89
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,068.64
		Payment:	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.00
		Balance Due:	\$2,068.64

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

THIS INVOICE IS 36 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

invoice #:

NY2862673

Job #:

2521235 1/25/2017

BITOLISO,

Invoice Date: Balance:

\$2,068.64

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2842221

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$1,953.30

56885-00001

Case: Leontiev v. Vashavsky

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Job #:

Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy Location:

Keskuskatu 7 A I 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison I. Wollin, Esq I Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

2492613 | Job Date: 12/16/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Witness	Description		Amoun
宋三司号(1967年),不是李章 等 2000 LL 化二醇 1960 HC 2017 HE 1967 HE	Transcript Services		\$806.3
	Professional Attendance		\$95.0
	Exhibit Management		\$81.2
Andrei Pavlovích	Realtime Services		\$670.5
	Rough Draft		\$260.7
	Expenses		\$0.0
	Delivery and Handling		\$39.5
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$1,953.3
		Payment:	\$0.0
		Crédit:	\$0.0
		Interest:	\$0.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information \$ 806,35 plowers

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Veritext accepts all major credit cards

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2842221

Job #:

2492613

Invoice Date: Balance:

Balance Due:

12/29/2016 \$1,953.30

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Alison L. Wollin, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2857147

Invoice Date:

1/18/2017

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$3,895.30

56885-00001

Case: Job #: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2494641 | Job Date: 1/9/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Location:

Roschier Asianajotolmisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description		Amoun
A SEE A SEE AND THE SECOND STREET OF THE SECOND SEC	Transcript Services	,	\$1,105.05
	Professional Attendance		\$95.00
	Exhibit Management		\$162.0
Vitaliy Popov	Vitaliy Popov Realtime Services Rough Draft		\$931.5
			\$362.2
	Expenses		\$1,200.0
	Delivery and Handling		\$39.5
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$3,895.3
		Payment:	\$0.0
		Credit:	\$0.0
		Interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$3,895.3

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information 1,105,05 ALDWARY

Invoice #:

NY2857147

Job #:

Balance:

2494641

Invoice Date:

1/18/2017 \$3,895.30

THIS INVOICE IS 43 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

42700

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2842501

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance Due:

\$2,395.45

Case:

Job #:

Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Matter #:

56885-00001

2492615 | Job Date: 12/19/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Location: Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Wines	Description	學供說在17岁 直接使用的常常的 2.5% (2.5%)	
	Transcript Services		\$960.8
	Professional Attendance		\$95.0
	Exhibit Management		\$181.3
Yulia Semenova	Realtime Services		\$805.5
	Rough Draft		\$313.2
	Expenses		\$0.0
	Delivery and Handling		\$39.
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,395.
		Payment:	\$0.6
		Credit:	\$0.
		Interest:	\$0.
		Balance Due:	\$2,395.

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

& Glob & Supply

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #: Job #:

NY2842501

Invoice Date:

2492615

12/29/2016

Veritext accepts all major credit cards

Balance:

\$2,395.45

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Marshall King, Esq.

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2857240

Invoice Date:

1/18/2017

Balance Due:

\$1,676.90

Case:

Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #:

2512718 | Job Date: 1/11/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Marshall King, Esq.

Location:

Hotel Kämp

Pohjoisesplanadi 29 | Paavo Nurmi Room 3 on the 2nd floor |

00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Witness	Description		Amour
	Transcript Services		\$693.0
	Professional Attendance		\$95.0
	Exhibit Management		\$55.6
Alexander Shcheglyaev	Realtime Services		\$571.5
	Rough Draft		\$222.2
	Expenses		\$0.0
	Delivery and Handling	The state of the s	\$39.5
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$1,676.9
		Payment	\$0.0
		Credit:	\$0.0
		Interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$1,876.9

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/ali-services/services-information

81693,05 pliows 26

THIS INVOICE IS 43 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2857240

Job #:

2512718

Balance:

Invoice Date:

1/18/2017 \$1,676.90

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Robert L. Weigel, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2856167

Invoice Date:

1/17/2017

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$2,402.90

56885-00001

Case: Job #: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2505668 | Job Date: 1/5/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Robert L. Weigel, Esq.

Location: Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

200 Park Avenue | 47th Floor | New York, NY 10166

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq J Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description		Amount
mod (14) 5 (200 (2015) 3 € 1 m (20 5 – 4 91 MH (4 1999) - 1 1 24 192 (2015)	Transcript Services		\$932.75
	Professional Attendance		\$0.00
	Exhibit Management		\$244.40
Alexander Varshavsky	Realtime Services		\$852.50
	Rough Draft		\$343.75
	Expenses		\$0.00
	Delivery and Handling	The same and the same same same same same same same sam	\$29.50
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,402.90
		Payment:	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.00
		Balance Due:	\$2,402.90

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection coets including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/alf-services/services-information on 93275

THIS INVOICE IS 44 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2856167

Job #:

2505668 1/17/2017

Balance:

Invoice Date:

\$2,402.90

Veritext New York Reporting Co.

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Mary Beth Maloney, Esq Bill To:

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2852549

Invoice Date:

1/11/2017

Balance Due:

\$1,100.70

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #: 2512940 | Job Date: 1/6/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Mary Beth Maloney, Esq Debevoise & Plimpton Location:

919 Third Avenue | 35th | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

	Transcript Services		\$520.0
	Exhibit Management		\$136.
Vadim Voronin	Realtime Services		\$229.
	Rough Draft		\$185.
	Delivery and Handling		\$29.
Notes:		Involce Total:	\$1,100.
		Payment:	\$0.
		Credit:	\$0.
		Interest:	\$0.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

AGROE WOTH OBJECTIONS. DO NOT SOB ATTREHON TO MITTON FOR Summary Juagner T.

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Veritext accepts all major credit cards (American Express, Mastercard, Visa, Discover) Please remit payment to: Verltext

P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Balance Due:

Job #:

2512940

\$1,100.70

Invoice Date:

1/11/2017

Balance:

\$1,100.70

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Robert L. Weigel, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2834260

Invoice Date:

12/20/2016

Balance Due:

\$0.00

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #:

2502724 | Job Date: 12/16/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Robert L. Weigel, Esq.

Location: Debevoise & Plimpton

919 3rd Avenue | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

CHESTORISM AND AND AND SERVICE STREET, SAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	Transcript - Split Between Parties/Your Share	\$757.25
	Exhibit Management	\$144.00
Alexander Zheleznyak	Realtime Services	\$361.15
	Rough Draft	\$291.25
	Transcript Services	\$39.00
	Delivery and Handling	\$29.50
Notes:	Invoice Total:	\$1,622,15

1		
-	\$1,622.15	Invoice Total:
	(\$1,822.15)	Payment:
	\$0.00	Credit:
	\$0.00	Interest:
	\$0.00	Balance Due:

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

> To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2834260

\$ 757.95

Job #: **Invoice Date:**

2502724 12/20/2016

Balance:

\$0.00

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #: NY2857242

Invoice Date: Balance Due: 1/18/2017 \$2,528.10

New Tork, NT, 10166

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #: 2512943 | Job Date: 1/9/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq Location: Debevoise & Plimpton

919 Third Avenue | 35th | New York, NY 10022

Sched Atty: | Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Witness	Description		Amount
to the particular to the property of the property of the particular to the particula	Transcript Services	Commission with a country of the cou	\$68.25
Irina Zubiy AEO	Realtime Services		\$13.95
	Rough Draft		\$11.25
	Transcript Services		\$1,072.50
Irina Zubiy Conf	Exhibit Management		\$442.25
	Realtime Services		\$492.90
	Rough Draft		\$397.50
	Delivery and Handling	THE RESERVE OF THE STREET, STR	\$29.50
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$2,528.10
		Payment:	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$2,528.10

THIS INVOICE IS 43 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to:
Veritext
P.O. Box 71303
Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #: NY2857242

Job #: 2512943 Invoice Date: 1/18/2017

Balance: \$2.528.10

EXHIBIT E

EXHIBIT E

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2842748

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$1,350.00

56885-00001

Case: Job #: Leontiev v. Vashavsky

2494638 | Job Date: 12/20/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Location:

Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

	1 STATES AND THE ABSTRACT THE ABSTRACT AND THE ABSTRACT A	TO VITA Custor in the President Streets
Kamo Avagumyan	Translation & Interpretation	\$1,350.
Notes:	Invoice Total:	\$1,350.
	Payment:	\$0.
	Credit:	\$0.
	interest:	_\$0
	Balance Due:	\$1,350.

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collect o costs. including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our see please consult http://www.verilext.com/services/all-services/services-information

Allowable

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2842748

Job #: Invoice Date:

2494638 12/29/2016

Balance:

\$1,350.00

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Matter #:

Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #: NY2842735

invoice Date: 12/29/2016

Balance Due: \$1,350.00

56885-00001

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

Job #: 2492612 | Job Date: 12/14/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Location: Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Karen Avagumyan	Translation & Interpretation		\$1,350.00
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$1,350.00
		Payment	\$0.00
		Credit:	\$0.00
		Interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$1,350.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs. including reasonable attorney's fees, Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/services/services-information



THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303 Invoice #: NY2842735

Job #: 2492612 Invoice Date: 12/29/2016

Balance: \$1,350.00

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Marshall King, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #: NY2862892

Invoice Date: 1/25/2017

Balance Due: \$1,600.00

Case: Leontiev v. Varshavsky Matter #: 56885-00001

Billing Atty: Marshall King, Esq.

Job #:

Location: Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

200 Park Avenue | 47th Floor | New York, NY 10166

2521235 | Job Date: 1/17/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Sched Atty: Alison Chapin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Irina Monakhova	Translation & Interpretation	\$1,170.0
	Transcript Services	\$430.0
Notes:		Involce Total: \$1,600.0
		Payment: \$0.0
		Credit: \$0.0
		Interest: 50.0
		Balance Due: \$1,600.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs, including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

plowoble

THIS INVOICE IS 36 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303 Invoice #: Nob #:

NY2862892

Invoice Date:

2521235 1/25/2017

Balance: \$1,600.00

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



BIII To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2842618

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$1,350.00

56885-00001

Case: Job #: Leontiev v. Vashavsky

2492613 | Job Date: 12/16/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Location:

Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

The same of the sa	。一个是一个人,一个人的一个人,我们就是一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
Andrei Pavlovich	Translation & Interpretation	\$1,350.0
Notes:	invoice Total:	\$1,350.0
	Payment:	\$0.0
	Credit	\$0.0
	interest:	\$0.0
	Balance Due:	\$1,350.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs: including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

pllownsto

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #: NY2842618 Job #: 2492613 Invoice Date: 12/29/2016

Balance:

\$1,350.00

Veritext New York Reporting Co.

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To:

Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2857910

Invoice Date:

1/18/2017

Balance Due:

Matter #:

\$1,350.00

56885-00001

Case: Job#: Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2494641 | Job Date: 1/9/2017 | Delivery: Normal

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq.

Location:

Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A | 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Witness	Description	Amount
Vitaliy Popov	Translation & Interpretation	\$1,350.00
Notes:	Invoice Total:	\$1,350.00
	Payment:	\$0.00
	Gredit:	\$0.00
	Interest:	\$ 0.0 0
	Balanca Oue:	\$1,350.00

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs.

including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

Allow Blo

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Veritext accepts all major credit cards (American Express, Mastercard, Visa, Discover) Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2857910

Job #:

2494641

Invoice Date: Balance:

1/18/2017 \$1,350.00

330 Old Country Rd., Suite 300 Mineola NY 11501 Tel. (516) 608-2400 Fax. (516) 608-2450 Fed. Tax ID: 20-3132569



Bill To: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Gibson Dunn 200 Park Avenue 47th Floor

New York, NY, 10166

Invoice #:

NY2842733

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance Due:

\$1,690.00

Case:

Leontiev v. Varshavsky

2492615 | Job Date: 12/19/2016 | Delivery: Normal

Matter #:

56885-00001

Job #:

Location:

Billing Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq

Roschier Asianajotoimisto Oy

Keskuskatu 7 A I 00100 Helsinki

Sched Atty: Alison L. Wollin, Esq | Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Yulia Semenova	Translation & Interpretation	1	\$1,350.00
	Transcript Services		\$340.0
Notes:		Invoice Total:	\$1,690.0
		Payment:	\$0.0
		Credit:	\$0.0
		Interest:	\$0.0
		Balance Due:	\$1,690.0

TERMS: Payable upon receipt. Accounts 30 days past due will bear a finance charge of 1.5% per month. Accounts unpaid after 90 days agree to pay all collection costs. Including reasonable attorney's fees. Contact us to correct payment errors. No adjustments will be made after 90 days. For more information on charges related to our services please consult http://www.veritext.com/services/all-services/services-information

plloworstor

THIS INVOICE IS 63 DAYS PAST DUE, PLEASE REMIT - THANK YOU

To pay online, go to www.veritext.com

Please remit payment to: Veritext P.O. Box 71303 Chicago IL 60694-1303

Invoice #:

NY2842733

Job #:

2492615

Invoice Date:

12/29/2016

Balance:

\$1,690.00

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
SERGEY LEONTIEV, Plaintiff,	: : :
-against-	: : No. 16-cv-03595 (JSR)
ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY,	: : :
Defendant.	: :
	X

PLAINTIFF SERGEY LEONTIEV'S NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR COSTS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the accompanying bill of costs, the declaration of Marshall R. King, dated March 13, 2017, and the exhibits attached thereto, and all other pleadings and proceedings herein, Plaintiff Sergey Leontiev will and hereby does move this Court before the Judgment Clerk, at the United States Courthouse for the Southern District of New York, located at 500 Pearl Street Room 200, New York, New York at 10:00 a.m. on March 27, 2017, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard for an order pursuant to Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 54.1 of the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York, and 28 U.S.C. § 1920, granting costs sought by Mr. Leontiev and granting such further relief as this Court deems proper.

Dated: March 13, 2017

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Marshall R. King

Robert L. Weigel Marshall R. King GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000

Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sergey Leontiev

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
SERGEY LEONTIEV, Plaintiff,	:
-against-	: : No. 16-cv-03595 (JSR)
ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY,	: :
Defendant.	:
	X

DECLARATION OF MARSHALL R. KING IN SUPPORT OF SERGEY LEONTIEV'S APPLICATION FOR COSTS

I, MARSHALL R. KING, hereby declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of New York and before this Court. I am a partner at the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and I am counsel for Plaintiff Sergey Leontiev in the above-captioned matter. I am personally familiar with the facts set forth herein, unless the context indicates otherwise.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Sergey Leontiev's Application for Costs pursuant to Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 54.1 of the Local Civil Rules of the Southern District of New York ("Local Rule 54.1"), and 28 U.S.C. § 1920.
- 3. This matter was brought before the Honorable Jed S. Rakoff in the Southern District of New York at 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007.
- 4. On March 1, 2017, the Court granted summary judgment in this matter in favor of Plaintiff Sergey Leontiev and issued a declaration that "Sergey Leontiev owes no debt or obligation to defendant Alexander Varshavsky." Accordingly, Plaintiff is the prevailing party

and is entitled to recover costs pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d) and Local Rule 54.1. A true and correct copy of the Final Judgment (Dkt. No. 70) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 5. Plaintiff incurred taxable costs in this matter of \$32,789.28, as set forth in Sergey Leontiev's March 13, 2017 Bill of Costs, attached hereto as Exhibit B. These costs are correctly stated, are allowable by law, and were necessarily incurred as stated herein. These costs consist of the following components.
- 6. Plaintiff incurred a cost of \$400.00 for the court filing fee incurred to initiate the above-captioned action. A true and correct copy of the email confirming this payment is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 7. Plaintiff incurred costs of \$23,699.28 for deposition transcripts for the following witnesses: Kamo Avagumyan, Karen Avagumyan, Vadim Kolotnikov, Charles Laurence, Sergey Leontiev, Irina Monakhova, Andrei Pavlovich, Vitaliy Popov, Yulia Semenova, Alexander Shcheglyaev, Alexander Varshavsky, Vadim Voronin, Alexander Zheleznyak, and Irina Zubiy. These costs are recoverable under 28 U.S.C. § 1920 and Local Rule 54.1. True and correct copies of the invoices for these deposition transcripts are attached hereto as Exhibit D.¹
- 8. Plaintiff incurred costs of \$8,690.00 for interpretation services at depositions for the following witnesses: Kamo Avagumyan, Karen Avagumyan, Irina Monakhova, Andrei Pavlovich, Vitaliy Popov, and Yulia Semenova. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920(6) (allowing recovery of "compensation of interpreters"); Local Civ. R. 54.1(c)(4) (same). True and correct copies of the invoices for these interpretation services are attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 9. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Clerk issue an order granting Plaintiff costs in the amount of \$32,789.28.

¹ The "Realtime Services" expenses reflected in the invoices included in Exhibit D are excluded from Plaintiff's request for costs.

Executed on this 13th day of March 2017 at New York, New York.

By:

Marshall R. King

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193

Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Attorney for Plaintiff Sergey Leontiev

EXHIBIT A

Case 1:16-cv-03595-JSR Document 74 Filed 03/28/17 Page 33 of 57

Case 1:16-cv-03595-JSR Document 70 Filed 03/02/17 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SERGEY LEONTIEV,

16 Civ. 3595 (JSR)

FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff,

- 17 -

ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY,

Defendant.

JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J.

Before the Court is plaintiff Sergey Leontiev's motion for summary judgment on his first, and only remaining, cause of action. Upon consent of the parties, see transcript dated March 1, 2017, the Court hereby grants summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff and declares that Sergey Leontiev owes no debt or obligation to defendant Alexander Varshavsky in the defendant's personal capacity with respect to the loans and other debt instruments described in paragraph 32 of the complaint in this case, see ECF No. 1.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to close the motion at docket entry number 49 and to close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, NY

March (, 2017

JED'S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J

EXHIBIT B

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
SERGEY LEONTIEV,	:	
,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	Case No. 1:16-cv-03595 (JSR)
- V	:	,
	;	
ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY,	:	
D. C I	:	
Defendant.	:	
	: V	
	A	

DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR COSTS

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP Sean Hecker Colby Smith William H. Taft V 919 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel: (212) 909-6000

Fax: (212) 909-6836 shecker@debevoise.com csmith@debevoise.com whtaft@debevoise.com

Counsel to Defendant Alexander Varshavsky

Dated: March 27, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BAC	CKGROUND	l
ARC	GUMENT	3
I.	No Costs Should be Awarded	3
II.	Certain of Defendants' Costs Are Not Taxable	5
A.	Deposition Costs	5
	1. Plaintiff Cannot Tax Costs For Depositions That Were Not "Used by the Court"	5
	Plaintiff Cannot Tax the Cost of More Than an Original and One Copy of a Deposition Transcript	7
В.	Interpreter Fees	
CON	NCLUSION	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc., 482 U.S. 437 (1987)
Dattner v. Conagra Foods, Inc., 458 F.3d 98 (2d Cir. 2006)
Farberware Licensing Co. LLC v. Meyer Marketing Co., Ltd., No. 09 Civ. 2570 (HB), 2009 WL 5173787 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 30, 2009)
Farrar v. Hobby, 506 U.S. 103 (1992)4, 5
Harris v. Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp., 139 F.2d 571 (2d Cir. 1943)
In re Omeprazole Patent Litig., No. 00 CIV. 4541 BSJ, 2012 WL 5427849 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2012)
India.com, Inc. v. Dalal, No. 02 CIV 0111 DLC, 2010 WL 2758567 (S.D.N.Y. July 13, 2010)
JA Apparel Corp. v. Abboud, No. 07 CIV. 7787 (THK), 2010 WL 1488009 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 13, 2010)
Mobasher v. Bronx Cmty. College of the City Univ. of N.Y., 01 Civ. 8636 (WCC), 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57470 (S.D.N.Y. July 28, 2008)
Shervington v. Vill. of Piermont, No. 09 CIV. 4273, 2012 WL 2574744 (S.D.N.Y. July 3, 2012)
Texas State Teachers Ass'n v. Garland Indep. Sch. Dist., 489 U.S. 782 (1989)
Whitfield v. Scully, 241 F.3d 264 (2d Cir. 2001)
STATUTES
28 U.S.C. § 1920
Rules
Fed. R. Civ. P. 54
Local Civ R 54 1

TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

Defendant Alexander Varshavsky respectfully requests that Plaintiff Sergey

Leontiev's Application for Costs be denied in full. Plaintiff's suit failed to achieve any
meaningful relief. His sole claim for damages was dismissed on the pleadings. His only
other claim, for declaratory relief, was entered "on consent" at summary judgment after it
was substantially narrowed from the broad declaration sought in Plaintiff's complaint.

Defendant consented to that declaration because it effected absolutely no change to the
status quo. Although technically entered as a grant of Plaintiff's motion for summary
judgment, it was, at most, a Pyrrhic victory that does not qualify for "prevailing party"
status or warrant an award of costs.

Certain specific elements of Plaintiff's Application for Costs also should be disallowed because recovery of those elements is not permitted in this District.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff brought this suit and alleged two claims. In one claim, Plaintiff sought \$25 million in damages for "intentional infliction of emotional distress" arising from Defendant's efforts to obtain repayment from Plaintiff of monies lent by non-parties to entities controlled and looted by Plaintiff. See Compl. ¶¶ 46–52, Prayer for Relief (Dkt. No. 1). Judge Rakoff dismissed this count on the pleadings, holding that it did not "remotely meet" the legal standard for the claim. See Sept. 1, 2016 Mem. Order, at 11 (Dkt. No. 31).

In the other claim, Plaintiff sought a declaratory judgment that he was not personally liable under any legal theory for the monies loaned by non-parties and an injunction preventing Defendant and "anyone acting in concert or participation with"

Defendant from seeking repayment from Plaintiff. See Compl. ¶¶ 41–45, Prayer for Relief (Dkt. No. 1). The claim was a transparent attempt to enjoin the non-party lenders and litigate their rights, as Defendant had never contended that the monies were owed to him personally. Defendant's counsel even offered Plaintiff's counsel, early in the case, to resolve the second claim with a declaration that Plaintiff did not owe Defendant on the loans in their personal capacities. See Decl. Hecker Opp. Appl. Costs ("Hecker Decl."), Ex. A (Mar. 1, 2017 Oral Arg. Tr. at 6). Plaintiff's counsel refused and further declined to identify whom Plaintiff was attempting to bind with his requested declaration. See id. Yet later, in open court on Plaintiff's unsuccessful motion for judgment on the pleadings, his counsel expressly narrowed the requested relief to "a declaration that [Plaintiff] owes nothing to [Defendant] personally." Sept. 21, 2016 Oral Arg. Tr. at 5-6, 12 (Dkt. No. 42).

In moving for summary judgment on his second claim, Plaintiff nevertheless reverted to the broader declaratory relief sought in his Complaint. *See* Pl.'s Mem. Supp. Mot. Summ. J. at 21, 25 (Dkt. No. 51). In response, Defendant explained that he had never claimed that the loaned funds were owed to him personally and that he would agree to a declaration from the Court to that effect. *See* Def.'s Mem. Opp. Mot. Summ. J. at 2, 12, 25 (Dkt. No. 56). Defendant urged the Court to enter that narrow declaration rather than entertaining Plaintiff's attempt to adjudicate the claims of the non-party lenders, who were not before the Court. *See id.* at 1, 12–14. In case the Court nonetheless did reach that issue, Defendant further explained why summary judgment on Plaintiff's liability on the loans generally should be denied. *See id.* at 14–25. In his reply, Plaintiff

continued to press argument on his liability on the loans generally. *See* Pl.'s Reply Mem. Supp. Mot. Summ. J. at 4–10 (Dkt. No. 65).

Oral argument on Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment did not last long. At the start, Judge Rakoff noted that Plaintiff had withdrawn "in open court" his request that declaratory relief extend to "anyone acting in concert or participation with" Defendant, and that Defendant consented to entry of a declaration that Plaintiff did not owe a debt to Defendant personally. *See* Hecker Decl., Ex. A (Mar. 1, 2017 Oral Arg. Tr. at 2). Given that this was "all that remains of the relief sought by the plaintiff," Judge Rakoff asked Plaintiff's counsel, "why are we here?" *Id.* Plaintiff's counsel nonetheless attempted to argue that the Court should declare Plaintiff not liable to anyone for the monies loaned by non-parties, before Judge Rakoff advised that this was "not a good use" of the Court's time. *Id.* at 5. The Court then entered a final judgment on consent of the parties, declaring solely that Plaintiff "owes no debt or obligation" to Defendant "in the defendant's personal capacity" with respect to the loans at issue. Final J. (Dkt. No. 70).

ARGUMENT

I. No Costs Should be Awarded

Plaintiff obtained no meaningful relief from this suit. His first claim, for emotional distress, was dismissed on the pleadings. And his second claim, for declaratory relief, was narrowed and entered on consent, and it declared what had never been in dispute and had, in fact, been offered to Plaintiff's counsel early in the litigation.

The Federal Rules permit an award of certain costs to the "prevailing party," *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d), but in no meaningful sense did Plaintiff prevail in this litigation.

Although the Supreme Court has given the term "prevailing party" a "generous

formulation," *Texas State Teachers Ass'n v. Garland Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 489 U.S. 782, 792 (1989), to qualify a plaintiff must still obtain a "judicially sanctioned change in the legal relationship of the parties." *Dattner v. Conagra Foods, Inc.*, 458 F.3d 98, 101 (2d Cir. 2006) (applying standard to costs under Rule 54). No such change in the legal relationship of the parties was effected here.

The Supreme Court has made clear that a grant of declaratory relief that makes no change to the status quo does not support prevailing party status. As an example of such insufficient relief, the Supreme Court has noted the invalidation of a requirement for school approval for meetings held during non-school hours, where there was no evidence that permission for such a meeting was ever refused. *See Garland*, 489 U.S. at 792. This would not render the plaintiffs "prevailing parties" because, "[d]espite winning a declaratory judgment, the plaintiffs could not alter the defendant['s] ... behavior toward them for their benefit." *Farrar v. Hobby*, 506 U.S. 103, 113 (1992) (discussing *Garland*'s example). Here, similarly, there is no evidence that Defendant ever asserted that he was personally owed the loaned monies. Defendant can continue to pursue repayment of the loaned monies on behalf of the creditors in a representational capacity rather than personally, which is all he ever did.

Even if Plaintiff could fairly be said to have "prevailed" on his second claim, the Court has discretion to nonetheless limit or deny costs. *Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc.*, 482 U.S. 437, 442 (1987) (stating that Rule 54(d) "grants a federal court discretion to refuse to tax costs in favor of the prevailing party"). Given Plaintiff's complete loss on his first claim and the narrowing of his second, the litigation's outcome was, at most, mixed, and the Court should, in its discretion, order each party to bear their

own costs. *E.g.*, *Shervington v. Vill. of Piermont*, No. 09 CIV. 4273, 2012 WL 2574744, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. July 3, 2012) (denying costs where, among other things, party's victory at summary judgment "was only a partial one"); *JA Apparel Corp. v. Abboud*, No. 07 CIV. 7787 (THK), 2010 WL 1488009, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 13, 2010) (ordering each party to bear its costs were the judgment was "mixed," with each party prevailing on certain issues, and collecting cases affirming decisions of other district courts doing the same). Plaintiff should not be permitted to bring suit seeking \$25 million in damages and a broad declaration, obtain no monetary relief whatsoever and a narrow declaration on an issue not in dispute and yet recover costs (which were incurred predominantly as a result of the overreaching declaratory relief Plaintiff sought but failed to attain). In these circumstances, no award of costs is warranted. *Cf. Farrar*, 506 U.S. at 120 (O'Connor, J., concurring) (noting that courts routinely deny costs to a "Pyrrhic victor").

II. Certain of Defendants' Costs Are Not Taxable

Even if the Clerk allows taxation of costs, Plaintiffs' request for the costs of depositions (\$23,699.28) and interpreters (\$8,690) should be disallowed. And even if the depositions at issue are deemed taxable, \$8,942.18 of the amount sought should be disallowed because it represents nonessential deposition expenses that are not taxable.

A. Deposition Costs

1. Plaintiff Cannot Tax Costs For Depositions That Were Not "Used by the Court"

In the absence of a trial—and there was none here—this Court's Rules permit recovery of the costs of an original deposition transcript, plus one copy, only if the deposition was "used by the Court in ruling on a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive substantive motion." Local Civ. R. 54.1(c)(2). Although a court can

determine that it "used" any deposition transcript filed in support of a summary judgment motion when ruling on that motion, it is not obligated to do so; the court has broad discretion to "assess the extent to which it 'used" a deposition transcript. Whitfield v. Scully, 241 F.3d 264, 271–72 (2d Cir. 2001); cf. Harris v. Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp., 139 F.2d 571, 572–73 (2d Cir. 1943) (affirming district court's exercise of discretion in refusing to tax certain depositions not cited in its opinion granting summary judgment). And whereas some courts in this District have, in their discretion, gone beyond the Local Rules' limitation and permitted taxation of depositions not used at trial or on a dispositive motion if they were "reasonably necessary to the litigation at the time they were taken," Farberware Licensing Co. LLC v. Meyer Marketing Co., Ltd., No. 09 Civ. 2570 (HB), 2009 WL 5173787, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 30, 2009) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2), which allows taxation of transcripts that were "necessarily obtained for use in the case"), Plaintiff states no reason that the plain application of the Court's Rules should not govern in this case.

Here, none of the deposition transcripts claimed by Plaintiff were "used" by the Court in ruling on Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, as that motion was resolved on consent, at oral argument, and without any substantive discussion. Indeed, the Court affirmatively stopped Plaintiff's counsel from arguing Plaintiff's liability on the loans generally at oral argument on the motion. *See* Hecker Decl., Ex. A (Mar. 1, 2017 Oral Arg. Tr. at 5). Thus, the \$23,699.28 in deposition costs sought by Plaintiff should be disallowed. This is particularly so for the \$871.30 in costs sought for the deposition

Decl. King Supp. Appl. Costs ("King Decl.") ¶ 7 (Dkt. No. 71-1).

transcript of Vadim Voronin,² which Plaintiff did not even file in support of his motion for summary judgment. *See* Decl. Weigel Supp. Mot. SJ (Dkt. No. 55); Decl. King Further Supp. Mot. SJ (Dkt. No. 69).

2. Plaintiff Cannot Tax the Cost of More Than an Original and One Copy of a Deposition Transcript

Local Civil Rule 54.1 provides that only the original transcript of a deposition, plus one copy, is taxable. Local Civ. R. 54.1(c)(2). Even if it is determined that Plaintiff can recover costs for the depositions listed in his Application for Costs, he is not permitted to recover the costs of miscellaneous expenses incurred in connection with deposition transcripts, such as professional attendance fees and expenses, rough draft fees, and delivery and handling fees. Because Plaintiff does not suggest any extraordinary circumstances that would justify recovery of \$8,942.18 in such miscellaneous expenses, this amount should be disallowed.

Professional Attendance Fees and Expenses. Professional attendance or appearance fees and expenses are miscellaneous expenses and not taxable. See In re Omeprazole Patent Litig., No. 00 CIV. 4541 BSJ, 2012 WL 5427849, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2012) ("[C]ourts in this District have determined that court reporter appearance fees ... are not taxable."); Farberware, 2009 WL 5173787, at *5–6 (disallowing appearance fees at depositions as a "miscellaneous" expense not taxable even when the cost of an original transcript and one copy is properly taxed); Mobasher v. Bronx Cmty. College of the City Univ. of N.Y., 01 Civ. 8636 (WCC), 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57470, at

King Decl., Ex. D at 13. This total excludes the amount categorized as "Realtime Services," per Plaintiff's representation that he is not seeking recovery of that cost. See id. ¶ 7 n.1.

This constitutes \$570 in "Professional Attendance" fees; \$3,600 in "Expenses"; \$4,258.25 in "Rough Draft" costs; and \$513.93 in "Delivery and Handling" costs. See generally King Decl., Ex. D.

*10–11 (S.D.N.Y. July 28, 2008) (rejecting recovery of "Appearance Fee[s]"). Plaintiff's request for \$570 in "Professional Attendance" fees and \$3,600 in "Expenses" should thus be disallowed.⁴

Rough Draft Fees. The purchase price of a rough disk "may not be taxed," even if the deposition transcript itself is taxable. *Farberware*, 2009 WL 5173787, at *5–6 (denying taxation of cost of rough disks). Plaintiff's request for \$4,258.25 for "Rough Draft" fees should thus be disallowed.⁵

Delivery and Handling Fees. Delivery and handling costs in connection with deposition transcripts are not recoverable. Omeprazole Patent Litig., 2012 WL 5427849, at *4 (rejecting recovery of "delivery fees" for deposition transcripts); India.com, Inc. v. Dalal, No. 02 CIV 0111 DLC, 2010 WL 2758567, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. July 13, 2010) (same); Mobasher, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57470, at *10-11 (denying delivery fees because "shipping fees are not provided for under Local Civil Rule 54.1"). Plaintiff's request for \$513.93 in "Delivery and Handling" fees should thus be disallowed.⁶

See King Decl. Ex. D at 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11 (\$95 in "Professional Attendance" fees for each of six depositions: Kamo Avagumyan, Karen Avagumyan, Andrei Pavlovich, Vitaliy Popov, Yulia Semenova, and Alexander Shcheglyaev); id. at 3 (\$2,400 in "Expenses" for Karen Avagumyan); id. at 9 (\$1,200 in "Expenses" for Vitaliy Popov).

See King Decl. Ex. D at 2 (\$309.75 in "Rough Draft" fees for Kamo Avagumyan); id. at 3 (\$175 in same for Karen Avagumyan); id. at 4 (\$391.25 in same for Vadim Kolotnikov); id. at 5 (\$187.50 in same for Charles W. Laurence); id. at 6 (\$545 in same for Sergey Leontiev); id. at 7 (\$262.50 in same for Irina Monakhova); id. at 8 (\$260.75 in same for Andrei Pavlovich); id. at 9 (\$362.25 in same for Vitaliy Popov); id. at 10 (\$313.25 in same for Yulia Semenova); id. at 11 (\$222.25 in same for Alexander Shcheglyaev); id. at 12 (\$343.75 in same for Alexander Varshavsky); id. at 13 (\$185 in same for Vadim Voronin); id. at 14 (\$291.25 in same for Alexander Zheleznyak); id. at 15 (\$408.75 in same for Irina Zubiy).

See King Decl. Ex. D at 2 (\$39.50 in "Delivery and Handling" fees for Kamo Avagumyan); id. at 3 (\$41.65 in same for Karen Avagumyan); id. at 4 (\$45.89 in same for Vadim Kolotnikov); id. at 5 (\$29.50 in same for Charles W. Laurence); id. at 6 (\$29.50 in same for Sergey Leontiev); id. at 7 (\$51.89 in same for Irina Monakhova); id. at 8 (\$39.50 in same for Andrei Pavlovich); id. at 9 (\$39.50 in same for Vitaliy Popov); id. at 10 (\$39.50 in same for Yulia Semenova); id. at 11 (\$39.50 in same for Alexander Shcheglyaev); id. at 12 (\$29.50 in same for Alexander Varshavsky); id. at 13 (\$29.50 in

B. Interpreter Fees

Under the Rules of this Court, interpreter fees are taxable only "if the fee of the witness involved is taxable." Local Civ. R. 54.1(c)(4). Here, Plaintiff seeks interpreter fees solely for deposition witnesses. The fee for a deposition witness, however, is taxable only "if the deposition taken was used or received in evidence at ... trial." Local Civ. R. 54.1(c)(2). As there was no trial, on its face this Court's Rules do not permit taxation of the interpreter fees sought by Plaintiff. Plaintiff's request for \$8,690 for interpretation services at depositions should thus be disallowed.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that Plaintiff's Application for Costs be denied in its entirety, or, in the alternative, denied to the extent of \$8,690 for interpretation services that are not taxable and \$23,699.28 in costs for depositions that are not taxable or, if the depositions are found to be taxable, \$8,942.18 in miscellaneous deposition expenses that are not taxable.

Dated: New York, New York March 27, 2017

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

/s/ Sean Hecker

Sean Hecker Colby Smith William H. Taft V 919 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Tel: (212) 909-6000 Fax: (212) 909-6836 shecker@debevoise.com csmith@debevoise.com

same for Vadim Voronin); id. at 14 (\$29.50 in same for Alexander Zheleznyak); id. at 15 (\$29.50 in same for Irina Zubiy).

⁷ See King Decl. ¶ 8, Ex. E.

whtaft@debevoise.com

Counsel to Defendant Alexander Varshavsky

INTER OF ATER DISTRICT COLUMN

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
SERGEY LEONTIEV,	: : :	
Plaintiff,	:	Case No. 1:16-cv-03595 (JSR)
- v	:	
ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY,	:	
Defendant.	:	
	: X	

DECLARATION OF SEAN HECKER IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR COSTS

- I, SEAN HECKER, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Debevoise & Plimpton LLP and am counsel of record for Defendant Alexander Varshavsky.
- 2. I respectfully submit this Declaration in support of Defendant's opposition to Plaintiff's Application for Costs.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a transcript, dated March 1, 2017, of oral argument in the above-captioned matter on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

I swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 24, 2017.

/s/ Sean Hecker
Sean Hecker
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

919 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel: (212) 909-6000

Fax: (212) 909-6836 shecker@debevoise.com

Counsel to Defendant Alexander Varshavsky

Exhibit A

1 H31VLEOA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 ____X 3 SERGEY LEONTIEV, Plaintiff, 4 5 v. 16 CV 3595 (JSR) ALEXANDER VARSHAVSKY, 7 ARGUMENT Defendant. 8 -----x New York, N.Y. 9 March 1, 2017 2:52 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. JED S. RAKOFF, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 GIBSON DUNN 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: ROBERT L. WEIGEL 16 ALISON L. WOLLIN RACHEL BROOK 17 NETA LEVANON 18 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON Attorneys for Defendant 19 BY: SEAN HECKER WILLIAM H. TAFT 20 COLBY A. SMITH 21 22 23 24 25

1	(Case called)
2	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
3	So we're here on the plaintiff's motion for summary
4	judgment.
5	MR. WEIGEL: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: But I don't actually know why we're here
7	and I'll tell you why I say that. Keeping in mind, as of
8	course I am required, that this has been one hotly-litigated
9	one might well say over-litigated case on the part of both
10	the esteemed law firms present here in court.
11	Mr. Leontiev initially sought a declaration that he
12	did not owe Mr. Varshavsky or, quote, anyone acting in concer
13	or participation with Mr. Varshavsky in connection with loans
14	Mr. Leontiev later repeatedly withdrew the second part of that
15	claim on the record, in open court, and only asked for the
16	first declaration.
17	Mr. Varshavsky has now conceded that he cannot
18	personally enforce the loans because he is not a named lender
19	a third-party beneficiary, or an assignee of the loans. He,
20	therefore, as I read his papers, consents to the entry of the
21	declaration that Mr. Leontiev does not owe a debt to
22	Mr. Varshavsky in Mr. Varshavsky's personal capacity, which is
23	all that remains of the relief sought by the plaintiff.
24	So I ask counsel, why are we here?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

MR. WEIGEL: We are here, your Honor, because at the

25

3

1	beginning	of	the	lawsuit.	we	filed a	complaint.	And	in	that

- 2 complaint, in paragraph 4, we said Mr. Leontiev owes
- 3 Mr. Varshavsky nothing. In two answers, the original answer
- 4 and the amended answer, that provision was denied. When we
- 5 came in front of you on the motion for judgment on the
- 6 pleadings, Mr. Varshavsky -- well, your Honor --
- 7 THE COURT: Am I right that the declaration you are
- 8 presently seeking is that Mr. Leontiev does not owe a debt to
- 9 Mr. Varshavsky in Mr. Varshavsky's personal capacity?
- 10 MR. WEIGEL: Absolutely right, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: That's the only relief that, as I read the
- 12 record, you are presently seeking.
- MR. WEIGEL: That is the relief we are seeking, your
- 14 Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: They concede that they will give you that
- 16 declaration. They do not object to that declaration.
- 17 MR. WEIGEL: But they did that in a very tactical way,
- 18 your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: I don't give a darn. I'm a simple,
- 20 barefoot judge. You asked for relief, number one. They say
- 21 they will give you relief, number one.
- Why are we here?
- MR. WEIGEL: Well, we would like -- obviously we'd
- 24 like a judgment of this Court.
- 25 THE COURT: I will give you that declaration.

1 MR. WEIGEL: The other point, your Honor, though, is 2 that at any point in time Mr. Varshavsky controls Avalon. He's its president. He could have caused an assignment to himself 3 4 at any point in time. 5 What they did here was they let this case go through 6 all the discovery, and then at the very last minute, they made 7 a call, should we assign the claim to Mr. Varshavsky or not. 8 They chose not to at that point in time. But we didn't know 9 what that answer would be. In fact, as of this morning they 10 could have assigned the claim to Mr. Varshavsky. So there is 11 an issue about whether Mr. Leontiev is liable for the debts of 12 these other entities. 13 Your Honor said there were three things that they needed to prove when we got to the summary judgment stage, when 14 15 the burden of proof shifted. One was that there was a valid debt; two, that he had a right to enforce it; and three, that 16 Mr. Leontiev was liable for that debt. 17 18 After spending an enormous amount of everybody's time and energy, not only my client's money and the 15 depositions, 19 but tens of thousands of pages of documents that were produced, 20 21 they've now conceded what they should have conceded a long time 22 ago.

Your Honor, we'll take the judgment. I mean we're very happy that we've managed to prove what we set out to prove. But we don't think that they should be allowed to limit

23

2425

1	the	Court	i f	the	Court	Mara	t o	consider	+ho	othor	icana	229
Τ.	cne	Court,	+ $+$	tne	Court	were	LO	consider	tne	otner	issues.	and

- 2 decide on the alternative ground that they have failed to
- 3 establish, after all this discovery, that Mr. Leontiev is
- 4 liable for the debts of these three companies: Ambika, Vennop,
- 5 and FG Life.
- 6 If I may, your Honor, we spent a great deal of time,
- 7 and having spent several hours of my life trying to read that
- 8 Press case from the English court which I would commend to you
- 9 if you ever have two and-a-half hours in the Dallas airport.
- 10 We've gone through it and they have utterly failed to establish
- 11 any of the elements to establish that the veil of Ambika should
- 12 be pierced.
- There are two theories under English law, under the
- 14 Press case and under Judge Cote's decision in the Mike Tyson
- 15 bankruptcy, which elaborates on the English law. There are two
- 16 theories. One is that there was some sort of evasion that --
- 17 THE COURT: Counsel, this is not a good use of my
- 18 time. I understand that you felt, given the way they presented
- 19 their papers, that you should come to argue that point, but I
- 20 don't see that point as any longer relevant.
- 21 Let me hear from your adversary.
- 22 Thank you.
- MR. WEIGEL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 MR. HECKER: I agree with the Court's analysis.
- 25 THE COURT: But I think the point made by your

_									
1	adversary,	that	this	is	something	 that	VOII	could	have

- 2 reached this point weeks or months ago.
- MR. HECKER: Your Honor, I agree. We tried to.
- 4 On July 29th, during our meet-and-confer, before a
- 5 call was made to chambers to get permission to file the motion
- 6 for judgment on the pleadings, I said in very plain English to
- 7 Mr. Weigel's partner Marshall King, that if all they were
- 8 seeking was a declaration or an order that their client didn't
- 9 owe our client personally on the loans, they could have it,
- 10 this case could be done.
- 11 He refused the offer.
- 12 I said, What is it you're trying to accomplish? Who
- are you trying to bind by getting a ruling here?
- No response.
- 15 They moved forward with the motion for judgment on the
- 16 pleadings, and they have been the ones that have been
- 17 litigating this case. They are the plaintiffs in this case; we
- 18 didn't ask for this lawsuit. The actual lenders here have
- 19 brought suit in state court. The case was just assigned to
- 20 Justice Barry Ostrager, I think, yesterday.
- 21 We are going to litigate this case. We think that's
- the proper forum. We agree with the Court's analysis. There
- 23 is no actual controversy between the parties. I think this
- 24 Court would have discretion under the Declaratory Judgment Act
- 25 not to issue the requested declaration because there has never

1	been a claim that Mr. Varshavsky, who's owed this money
2	THE COURT: On your consent, I'm issuing it.
3	MR. HECKER: On consent, that's fine.
4	THE COURT: I don't understand, having consented to
5	it, why you are now bothering to argue that, in effect, that I
6	shouldn't, under the guise of saying I have discretion not to.
7	MR. HECKER: We stand on our papers.
8	THE COURT: Good.
9	MR. HECKER: We are happy to have the order on
10	consent.
11	THE COURT: Then it's probably time to sit down.
12	So first I will issue a declaration by no later than
13	tomorrow, but it takes effect immediately, that Mr. Leontiev
14	does not owe a debt to Mr. Varshavsky in Mr. Varshavsky's
15	personal capacity.
16	Second, I feel compelled, with reluctance, to say that
17	before this case, if you had asked this Court which were the
18	top five litigation firms in terms of not only quality of
19	representation, but also in terms of not wasting the Court's
20	time and not being overly litigious and not posturing, I
21	certainly would have included in that list Gibson Dunn and
22	Debevoise. I feel compelled hereinafter to revise that

24 Court is adjourned.

opinion.

23

25